

2

COURT NO. 1  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

2.

OA 563/2025

Ex JWO Prithvi Raj Beniwal ..... Applicant  
Versus  
Union of India & Ors. .... Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Ved Prakash, Advocate  
For Respondents : Wg Cdr Manish Chandra, Deptt. Reptt.

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON  
HON'BLE LT GEN C.P. MOHANTY, MEMBER (A)

ORDER  
03.03.2025

The matter pertains to the grant of notional increment to the applicant in light of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in its judgment dated 11.04.2023 passed in Civil Appeal No. 2471/2023, titled Director (Admn. and HR), KPTCL and Others Vs. C.P. Mundinamani and Others (2023) SCC Online SC 401. Subsequent to the said order being passed, the applications were disposed of by this Tribunal in the light of the aforesaid order. In the meanwhile, a miscellaneous application, Diary No. 2400/2024, was filed in Civil Appeal No. 3933/2023, titled Union of India & Anr. Vs. M. Siddaraj. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, while dealing with the issue, passed an interim order on 06.09.2024 in MA Diary No. 2400/2024

filed in Civil Appeal No. 3933/2023. The operative part of the said order which contains four directions, i.e., (a), (b), (c), and (d) reads as under:

*(a) The judgment dated 11.04.2023 will be given effect to in case of third parties from the date of the judgment, that is, the pension by taking into account one increment will be payable on and after 01.05.2023. Enhanced pension for the period prior to 31.04.2023 will not be paid.*

*(b) For persons who have filed writ petitions and succeeded, the directions given in the said judgment will operate as res judicata, and accordingly, an enhanced pension by taking one increment would have to be paid.*

*(c) The direction in (b) will not apply, where the judgment has not attained finality, and cases where an appeal has been preferred, or if filed, is entertained by the appellate court.*

*(d) In case any retired employee has filed any application for intervention/impleadment in Civil Appeal No. 3933/2023 or any other writ petitions and a beneficial order has been passed, the enhanced pension by including one increment will be payable from the month in which the application for intervention/impleadment was filed.*

2. Based on the same, this Tribunal had also disposed of the applications for the grant of notional increment.

3. Now, miscellaneous application Diary No. 2400/2024 in Civil Appeal No. 3933/2023 has been finally decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 20.02.2025 and the final directions, while disposing of the matter, read as under:

*“ We are inclined to dispose of the present miscellaneous applications directing that Clauses (a), (b), and (c) of the order dated 06.09.2024 will be treated as final directions. We are,*

however, of the opinion that clause (d) of the order dated 06.09.2024 requires modifications, which shall now read as under:

*“(d) In case any retired employee filed an application for intervention/impleadment/writ petition/original application before the Central Administrative Tribunal/High Courts/this Court, the enhanced pension by including one increment will be payable for the period of three years prior to the month in which the application for intervention/impleadment/writ petition/original application was filed.*

*Further, clause (d) will not apply to the retired government employee who filed a writ petition/original application or an application for intervention before the Central Administrative Tribunal/High Court/ this Court after the judgment in “Union of India & Anr. Vs. Siddaraj”, as in such cases, clause (a) will apply.*

*Recording the aforesaid, the miscellaneous applications are disposed of.*

*We, further, clarify that in case any excess payment has already been made, including arrears, such amount paid will not be recovered.*

*It will be open to any person aggrieved by non-compliance with the directions and the clarification of this Court, in the present order, to approach the concerned authorities in the first instance and, if required the Administrative Tribunal or High Court, as per law.*

*Pending applications including all intervention/impleadment applications shall stand disposed of in terms of this order.”*

4. In view of the above, the claim of the applicant is required to be decided by the concerned authority for the grant of the increment as prayed in accordance with the direction issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 20.02.2025 in miscellaneous application Diary No. 2400/2024 in Civil Appeal No. 3933/2023.

5. Accordingly, this original application filed by the applicant is disposed of with a direction to the Competent

Authority to take note of the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 20.02.2025 in MA Dy No. 2400/2024 in CA No. 3933/2023 as detailed hereinabove and settle the claim of the applicant in accordance with the said directions within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the aforesaid, the OA stands disposed of.

7. Let a copy of this order be given 'DASTI' to both the parties and to the Officers of the Legal Cell (Air Force, Army, Navy) for expediting the disposal of the matters.

---

[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]  
CHAIRPERSON

---

[LT GEN C.R. MOHANTY]  
MEMBER (A)

Ps

OA 563/2025

COURT NO. 1  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

REVISED ORDER

1.

OA 563/2025

Ex JWO Prithvi Raj Beniwal ..... Applicant  
Versus  
Union of India & Ors. .... Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Ved Prakash, Advocate  
For Respondents : Wg Cdr Manish Chandra, Deptt. Reptt.

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON  
HON'BLE LT GEN C.P. MOHANTY, MEMBER (A)

ORDER  
06.03.2025

On a mention being made by Wg Cdr Manish Chandra,  
Departmental Representative, the matter is taken up today.

2. In addition to the order passed on 03.03.2025 in OA  
No.563/2025 the following Para 5(A) is added:

*"5(A). We had disposed of the matter with certain directions. We further clarify that if for any reason whatsoever apart from the aforesaid aspects the respondents find that the applicant is not entitled to one notional increment, they may record the reason therefor by passing a speaking order."*

3. Let a copy of this order be provided '*DASTI*' to learned  
counsel for the parties.

[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]  
CHAIRPERSON

[LT GEN C.P. MOHANTY]  
MEMBER (A)